

# Optimizing Learning Environments Through the Lens of Neuroscience: A Study on the Role of Emotion, Motivation, and Brain Plasticity.



Ahmad Roisuddin Ritonga,<sup>1</sup> Ahmad Lahmi,<sup>2</sup> Rosniati Hakim,<sup>3</sup> Dasrizal Dahlan.<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1,2,3,4</sup> Universitas Muhammadiyah Sumatera Barat

## Article Info

Received : 11 Feb 2025

Revised: 22 Marc 2025

Accepted: 18 May 2025

## Keywords:

*Educational Neuroscience, Brain Plasticity, Learning Optimization, Immersive Technology.*

## Korespondensi

A.Roisuddin Ritonga

Universitas Muhammadiyah Sumatera Barat

 [Ahmadroisuddinritonga01@gmail.com](mailto:Ahmadroisuddinritonga01@gmail.com)



**ABSTRACT:** This study aims to optimize learning environments through the integration of neuroscience principles, focusing on the roles of emotion, motivation, and brain plasticity in enhancing learning outcomes. Based on theoretical and conceptual analyses, this research demonstrates that the human brain is a dynamic organ capable of adaptation through neuroplasticity, which can be stimulated by mental, physical, and multisensory inputs. Emotions are shown to play a central role in learning, with the activation of the amygdala and hippocampus influencing memory consolidation, while intrinsic motivation triggered by autonomy and task relevance significantly increases student engagement. Modern technologies such as augmented reality (AR), virtual reality (VR), and adaptive algorithms offer significant opportunities to create immersive and personalized learning experiences. However, the implementation of these technologies still faces challenges related to accessibility and ethical considerations. This study emphasizes the importance of collaboration among neuroscientists, educators, and policymakers to create adaptive, inclusive, and sustainable learning environments. The findings provide new insights into how neuroscience can be utilized as a tool to transform education, while taking into account social, cultural, and individual student needs.

Copyright (c) 2025 Ahmad Roisuddin Ritonga, Ahmad Lahmi, Rosniati Hakim, Dasrizal Dahlan.;

This work is licensed under a [Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/)



## INTRODUCTION

Education, as one of the main pillars in the development of human civilization, continues to evolve alongside advancements in science and technology. In recent decades, neuroscience has emerged as a discipline that provides new insights into how the brain learns [1], thinks, and responds to its environment. Discoveries in this field not only expand our understanding of the biological mechanisms behind the learning process but also open opportunities to design more optimal learning environments. This article aims to explain how the lens of neuroscience can be used to optimize learning environments, focusing on the roles of emotion, motivation, and brain plasticity in enhancing learning outcomes.

Theoretically, the foundation of this study is the principle of neuroplasticity, which refers to the brain's ability to form new synaptic connections in response to specific experiences or stimuli [2], [3]. This concept was first introduced by Donald Hebb through his "Hebbian Learning" theory in 1949, which states that "neurons that fire together, wire together." This principle has advanced significantly with the support of modern brain imaging technologies such as fMRI (functional Magnetic Resonance Imaging) and EEG

(Electroencephalography) [4], [5], [6]. Research by Pascual-Leone and collaborators (2005) demonstrates that intense mental activity, such as hands-on learning, can significantly increase synaptic density in specific brain areas [7], [8]. This indicates that the brain is not a static entity but a dynamic organ that can adapt according to individual needs [9], [10]. However, despite extensive study of neuroplasticity, its application in education remains relatively limited, particularly in the context of designing holistic learning environments.

In the social context, the reality on the ground often reveals a gap between neuroscience findings and the implementation of educational practices [11]. Many schools worldwide still apply traditional learning models that focus primarily on cognitive aspects, neglecting the emotional and motivational dimensions of students [12], [13], [14]. A global survey conducted by the OECD (Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development) in 2018 showed alarming levels of academic stress among high school students, with over 30% reporting anxiety during exams [15], [16], [17], [18], [19]. Chronic stress, as explained by McEwen, can disrupt the function of the hippocampus—the brain region responsible for memory forma-

ion—and hinder the learning process. This suggests that learning environments that fail to support students' emotional well-being can become barriers to achieving educational goals [20], [21], [22].

Experts in the field of neuroeducation, such as Tokuhama-Espinosa (2011), highlight the importance of integrating neuroscience knowledge into education to create holistic learning environments [22], [23]. She emphasizes that learning is not merely about transferring information but also about creating experiences that facilitate active student engagement. Additionally, Jensen (2005) notes that intrinsic motivation—triggered by curiosity and internal satisfaction—has a greater impact than extrinsic motivation, such as rewards or punishments [24], [25], [26]. This finding is supported by neuroscience studies showing that activation of the dopaminergic system, associated with reward and motivation, is stronger when individuals feel meaningfully engaged in a task [27], [28], [29]. Therefore, it is crucial for educators to design learning activities that are not only challenging but also relevant to students' real-life experiences.

However, there is a significant research gap in the literature on neuroeducation. Most existing studies focus on the biological aspects of the brain without considering the social and cultural contexts in which learning occurs. For example, many studies on neuroplasticity are conducted in highly controlled laboratory environments, making it difficult to apply their findings directly in dynamic and heterogeneous classrooms. Moreover, few studies explicitly address how factors such as socioeconomic status, culture, and gender influence the brain's response to learning. This highlights the need for further research that integrates multidimensional perspectives into neuroeducation analysis.

The novelty of this article lies in its effort to connect neuroscience findings with the realities of modern education, where challenges such as digitalization, diversification of student backgrounds, and changing social interaction patterns are becoming increasingly complex. By leveraging cutting-edge technologies like virtual reality (VR) and augmented reality (AR), learning environments can be designed to optimally stimulate brain plasticity [30], [31]. For instance, a study shows that VR simulations can enhance information retention by up to 30% compared to conventional learning methods [32], [33]. This demonstrates the immense potential of technology in creating immersive and personalized learning experiences, which in turn can maximize brain function.

The urgency of this research becomes even more apparent given the global challenges currently facing education. For example, the COVID-19 pandemic forced many educational institutions to transition to online learning, which often neglected the emotional and social aspects of students. According to UNESCO (2021), over 1.5 billion students worldwide were affected by school closures during the pandemic, resulting in a significant decline in learning quality [34], [35], [36]. In this context, neuroscience can provide insights into how online learning designs can be optimized to maintain student engagement and motivation. For instance, incorporating gamification elements into online learning platforms has been shown to increase dopamine release, thereby boosting interest and participation.

Furthermore, the role of emotions in learning is another critical focus of this article. Experts emphasize that emotions play a central role in cognitive processes and learning, as the activation of the amygdala—the brain region associated with emotions—has been shown to influence memory consolidation [37], [38], [39]. Meaningful learning can only occur when students feel emotionally connected to the material being studied [40], [41]. This suggests that learning environments supporting students' emotional well-being can directly enhance the brain's capacity to absorb and store information. Unfortunately, many schools still fail to create environments that support students' mental health, ultimately

hindering the learning process.

In the context of motivation, research by Ryan and Deci (2000) through Self-Determination Theory shows that individuals are more motivated when their basic needs for autonomy, competence, and social connection are met [42], [43], [44], [45]. Neuroscience supports this finding by demonstrating that activation of the dopaminergic system is stronger when individuals feel they have control over assigned tasks. This indicates that educators need to design learning activities that provide students with a sense of autonomy and control while offering challenges appropriate to their abilities.

This article also explores how modern technology can be used to support the optimization of learning environments through the lens of neuroscience. Tools such as AI (Artificial Intelligence) and big data can help educators understand individual learning patterns and design more personalized teaching strategies. For example, adaptive learning platforms using AI algorithms can provide instant feedback to students, thereby increasing their motivation and engagement. A study by Luckin et al. (2016) shows that the use of such technologies can improve learning outcomes by up to 20% compared to traditional methods [46], [47].

This article aims to bridge the knowledge gap between neuroscience and education by exploring how learning environments can be optimized through a deeper understanding of brain function. The primary focus of this research is on the roles of emotion, motivation, and brain plasticity in enhancing learning outcomes, as well as how modern technology can be utilized to support these goals. Through this approach, it is hoped that innovative solutions can be found that not only improve the quality of education but also promote the holistic well-being of students. In other words, neuroscience not only provides insights into how the brain works but also offers tools to transform education into a more inclusive, adaptive, and sustainable experience.

## METHOD

This research employs a qualitative approach, focusing on the analysis of theories and concepts to explore the relationship between neuroscience and the optimization of learning environments [48]. The qualitative approach was chosen because this study aims to achieve an in-depth understanding of phenomena through the interpretation of data that is descriptive, conceptual, and theoretical. Data were collected through a systematic literature review, encompassing scientific articles, books, and documents related to neuroscience, education, and learning technologies. These sources were analyzed to identify patterns, themes, and gaps in the literature relevant to the roles of emotion, motivation, and brain plasticity within the context of education.

The analysis process was conducted using thematic analysis [49], where data were categorized based on major themes such as neuroplasticity, emotional regulation, intrinsic motivation, and the impact of technology on learning. Additionally, this study integrates theoretical perspectives from experts regarding the role of emotions in learning [50], [51], [52], Self-Determination Theory [53], [54], and the application of neuroscience in education [55]. These concepts were critically analyzed to explore how neuroscience principles can be translated into holistic educational practices.

Data validity was strengthened through theoretical triangulation, which involved comparing and synchronizing various literature sources to ensure consistency and reliability of findings. This study also considered social and cultural contexts in analyzing the application of neuroscience concepts in learning environments, ensuring that the results are not only theoretically relevant but also practically applicable [55], [56]. Through this approach, the study provides new insights into how neuroscience can be used as a tool to

design more adaptive and inclusive learning environments while addressing existing knowledge gaps in the field of neuroeducation. For research involving tools and materials:

## RESULTS

### The Role of Emotions in Learning

This study explores the role of emotions in learning through the lens of neuroscience, focusing on how emotions influence cognitive function, memory, and the design of learning environments that support students' emotional well-being. These findings not only provide insights into the biological mechanisms behind the impact of emotions on the learning process but also offer practical recommendations for educators in creating more inclusive and adaptive learning environments.

One of the key findings of this research is the connection between amygdala activation and memory consolidation. The amygdala, as the brain's emotional center, plays a central role in regulating emotional responses and strengthening memory traces. Research shows that amygdala activation during learning can enhance long-term memory consolidation [56]. This indicates that learning materials that trigger positive emotions—such as happiness, curiosity, or enthusiasm—are more likely to be remembered by students compared to emotionally neutral materials. For example, a study by Tyng et al. (2017) found that students who learned through emotionally engaging narrative contexts demonstrated higher levels of information retention compared to those who learned through traditional methods such as reading plain text [57], [58], [59]. This suggests that integrating emotional elements into instructional design can be an effective strategy for improving learning outcomes.

On the other hand, stress and anxiety have significant negative effects on brain function, particularly in the hippocampus, which is responsible for memory formation. Chronic stress can lead to neuronal atrophy in the hippocampus, impairing the brain's ability to store new information [60], [61]. This phenomenon is often observed in high-stakes testing or academic pressure scenarios, where students tend to experience performance declines despite thorough preparation. A global survey by the OECD revealed that over 30% of high school students reported feeling anxious during exams, which directly impacted their learning outcomes [62], [63]. In this context, it is crucial for educators to create emotionally supportive learning environments, enabling students to learn without excessive psychological burdens.

To achieve this, the concept of an "Emotionally Safe Learning Environment" becomes highly relevant. An emotionally safe learning environment is a space where students feel comfortable, valued, and supported in expressing themselves without fear of punishment or judgment [64]. One practical example of implementing this concept can be seen in some modern schools that have begun integrating mindfulness and relaxation techniques into daily routines. A study showed that mindfulness programs in schools can significantly reduce students' stress levels and increase their engagement in learning [65]. Techniques such as brief meditation, breathing exercises, or daily reflection not only help students manage their emotions but also foster a more harmonious and productive classroom atmosphere.

Additionally, advancements in digital technology offer new opportunities to detect and respond to students' emotional dynamics in real-time. Modern digital learning platforms are beginning to adopt technologies like facial recognition to analyze students' facial expressions during online learning sessions. For instance, applications like Affectiva have been developed to assess students' emotional responses based on their facial expressions, which are then used to adapt learning content to better suit individual needs [66]. A case study at a U.S. middle school demonstrated that the use of such technology increased student

engagement by up to 25%, as the learning material was dynamically adjusted to align with their emotional states. However, it is important to note that the implementation of such technologies must be approached carefully, considering privacy and ethical concerns.

The importance of educators understanding students' emotional dynamics is also highlighted in this study. Non-verbal observations, such as body language, facial expressions, or tone of voice, can provide valuable insights into students' emotional states. Educators who can interpret these signals can design appropriate interventions to support students experiencing emotional difficulties. Furthermore, integrating elements of art, music, and storytelling into the curriculum can serve as effective tools for stimulating positive emotions [67]. For example, research by Kirschner and Tomasello (2010) showed that instrumental music played during learning sessions can improve students' moods and facilitate the learning process. Narrative storytelling, on the other hand, can help students emotionally connect with the learning material, thereby enhancing their conceptual understanding [68].

Overall, this study demonstrates that emotions are not merely secondary factors in learning but core elements that influence how the brain processes information. By understanding the neurobiological mechanisms behind the impact of emotions and applying practical strategies to support students' emotional well-being, educators can create more optimal learning environments. This not only improves students' learning outcomes but also promotes their holistic well-being. In today's challenging era, this approach becomes increasingly relevant to ensure that education focuses not only on academic achievement but also on the development of balanced and competitive individuals.

### Motivation as the Primary Driver of Learning

Motivation is one of the key elements that determine the success of the learning process. In the context of neuroscience, motivation is not merely understood as a psychological drive but also as a biological phenomenon involving specific brain activity. One of the main mechanisms supporting motivation is the dopaminergic system, which plays a central role in regulating rewards, motivation, and decision-making. Activation of this system occurs when an individual experiences rewards, whether intrinsic or extrinsic. Intrinsic rewards, such as a sense of achievement or internal satisfaction, have been shown to trigger stronger dopamine release compared to extrinsic rewards, such as grades or material rewards. This is explained by numerous studies indicating that intrinsic rewards tend to create more meaningful connections between actions and outcomes, thereby enhancing long-term motivation [69], [70].

In the human brain, the nucleus accumbens and prefrontal cortex are two primary areas involved in processing motivation [71], [72]. The nucleus accumbens, part of the limbic system, is responsible for responding to rewards and pleasure. This area becomes highly active when individuals experience intrinsic rewards, such as successfully completing a challenging task or feeling satisfied with their hard work. On the other hand, the prefrontal cortex is involved in decision-making and emotional regulation, enabling individuals to maintain focus on long-term goals despite challenges. Research shows that the interaction between the nucleus accumbens and the prefrontal cortex creates a positive feedback loop that reinforces motivation and the ability to sustain effort in complex tasks. This suggests that designing learning environments capable of stimulating both brain regions can significantly enhance student motivation.

The application of Self-Determination Theory provides a useful framework for understanding how motivation can be optimized in education. This theory emphasizes the importance of fulfilling three basic human needs: autonomy, competence, and

relatedness. Autonomy refers to the need for individuals to feel they have control over their actions; competence relates to the need to feel effective in facing challenges; and relatedness involves the need to feel connected to others. In the context of learning, these principles can be applied through activities that give students room to make their own decisions, offer challenges appropriate to their skill levels, and provide constructive and meaningful feedback. For example, project-based learning has proven effective in meeting students' autonomy needs. In this model, students are given the freedom to choose topics relevant to their interests, design their own steps for completion, and evaluate their final results. This not only enhances intrinsic motivation but also helps students develop problem-solving and collaboration skills.

In the digital era, gamification has emerged as a promising tool for increasing motivation in online learning. Gamification refers to the use of game-like elements, such as badges, leaderboards, and virtual rewards, to create a more engaging and interactive learning experience [73]. A study showed that incorporating gamification into online learning platforms can increase student engagement by up to 30%. This is due to the activation of the dopaminergic system that occurs when students achieve specific targets or receive recognition for their accomplishments. However, it is important to note that the effectiveness of gamification depends heavily on its design. If gamification elements are designed solely to provide extrinsic rewards without considering their meaning or relevance to students, their impact on long-term motivation may be limited. Therefore, educators must ensure that gamification focuses not only on competitive aspects but also on developing meaningful skills and understanding.

Although intrinsic motivation has a greater impact than extrinsic motivation, external factors such as academic pressure or parental expectations often hinder the development of intrinsic motivation. Excessive academic pressure, for instance, can cause students to feel anxious or stressed, which in turn disrupts the activation of the dopaminergic system [74], [75]. Chronic stress can impair the function of the prefrontal cortex, reducing an individual's ability to maintain motivation and focus on long-term goals. Additionally, unrealistic parental expectations can create psychological burdens that make students feel their efforts are inadequate, ultimately hindering the development of competence and autonomy. To address these challenges, personalized learning approaches are becoming increasingly relevant. By leveraging technologies like artificial intelligence (AI), educators can detect individual motivation patterns and design learning strategies tailored to each student's unique needs. For example, adaptive learning platforms can recommend materials aligned with a student's skill level and interests, creating a more meaningful and motivating learning experience.

Furthermore, it is crucial to consider social and cultural contexts in managing student motivation. Factors such as socioeconomic status, cultural background, and gender can influence how individuals respond to rewards and challenges. For example, students from low socioeconomic backgrounds may be more vulnerable to external pressures due to a lack of resource support, while students from collectivist cultures may be more motivated by social relationships than individual achievements. Therefore, educators need to understand the socio-cultural dynamics of their students to design inclusive and sensitive motivational strategies.

Motivation is a complex yet essential element in learning. By understanding the neurobiological mechanisms underlying motivation and applying principles such as Self-Determination Theory and gamification, educators can create learning environments that support the development of students' intrinsic motivation. However, challenges such as academic pressure and socio-cultural differences must be addressed through personalized

and adaptive approaches. Through the integration of neuroscience knowledge and educational practices, innovative solutions are expected to emerge that not only enhance student motivation but also promote holistic and sustainable learning.

### **Brain Plasticity as the Foundation for Optimizing Learning Environments**

This study reveals that brain plasticity, or the brain's ability to form new synaptic connections in response to mental and physical stimulation, is a critical foundation for optimizing learning environments. These findings are based on the fundamental principle of neuroplasticity first introduced by Donald Hebb through his "Hebbian Learning" theory, which states that neurons that fire together wire together more strongly [76]. This principle has advanced significantly with the support of modern brain imaging technologies such as fMRI (functional Magnetic Resonance Imaging) and EEG (Electroencephalography), enabling researchers to observe structural and functional changes in the brain in real-time [77], [78]. Research shows that intense mental activity, such as hands-on learning, can significantly increase synaptic density in specific brain areas. This indicates that the brain is not a static entity but a dynamic organ capable of adapting to individual needs.

Recent findings in neuroscience literature demonstrate that learning environments rich in multisensory stimulation have a significant impact on enhancing brain plasticity. For instance, a study found that integrating visual, auditory, and kinesthetic stimulation in learning can trigger simultaneous activation in multiple brain regions [79], [80], thereby strengthening the formation of long-term memory. This suggests that learning environments designed to provide multisensory experiences not only enhance students' conceptual understanding but also facilitate better information retention. Additionally, research by Diamond (2001) highlights that environments supporting sensory exploration—such as classrooms incorporating natural elements, bright colors, and manipulative materials—can stimulate the development of the prefrontal cortex, the brain region responsible for executive functions like problem-solving and decision-making.

Modern technology has opened new opportunities to stimulate brain plasticity in educational contexts. The use of augmented reality (AR) and virtual reality (VR) has proven effective in creating immersive learning experiences that stimulate specific brain areas [81], [82]. For example, researchers found that VR simulations can increase information retention by up to 30% compared to conventional learning methods. This is due to VR's ability to create realistic learning experiences, triggering the activation of the amygdala and hippocampus—brain regions associated with emotion and memory. Moreover, applications of VR in training motor skills and spatial abilities, such as laboratory simulations or scientific experiments, have been shown to improve fine motor coordination and spatial skills. A study by Slater and Sanchez-Vives (2016) demonstrated that using VR in medical training can enhance students' accuracy and confidence in performing surgical procedures [83], [84].

Additionally, the use of adaptive algorithms in online learning platforms shows great potential in stimulating brain plasticity. These platforms utilize AI technology to analyze students' brain responses to learning materials and automatically adjust the difficulty level based on individual abilities. A study found that using this technology can improve learning outcomes by up to 20% compared to traditional methods. This indicates that personalizing learning through adaptive technology not only boosts student motivation but also maximizes the brain's capacity to absorb information.

Recommendations for integrating neuroeducation technologies into formal curricula emerge as a key solution for

optimizing learning environments. The use of wearable devices, such as portable EEGs, can monitor students' brain activity during learning. Data collected from these devices can be used to analyze students' cognitive and emotional patterns, enabling educators to design more personalized and effective teaching strategies. Furthermore, the importance of collaboration among neuroscientists, educators, and policymakers cannot be overlooked. Such collaboration is essential to create adaptive and inclusive learning environments that cater not only to students with average abilities but also to those with special needs [85], [86].

Future projections about the potential of neurofeedback technology offer intriguing insights into how students with special needs, such as ADHD or dyslexia, can optimize their learning capacity [87]. Neurofeedback is a technique that allows individuals to monitor their brain activity in real-time and learn to control it through practice. A study found that neurofeedback can significantly improve concentration and impulse control in students with ADHD, demonstrating its potential to help students with special needs overcome learning challenges [88].

Thus, brain plasticity serves not only as a basis for understanding learning mechanisms but also as a foundation for designing optimal learning environments. Modern technologies such as AR, VR, and AI offer powerful tools to stimulate brain plasticity and enhance learning outcomes. However, implementing these technologies requires interdisciplinary collaboration to ensure that the learning environments created are not only innovative but also inclusive and sustainable. Through this approach, it is hoped that innovative solutions can be found that not only improve the quality of education but also promote the holistic well-being of students.

## CONCLUSION

This study confirms that the integration of neuroscience into education through the lens of brain plasticity, emotion, and motivation holds significant potential to transform learning environments into more optimal spaces. However, despite providing deep insights into the biological mechanisms underlying learning, its implementation in educational practice still faces substantial challenges. One major critique of this approach is the gap between laboratory-based neuroscience theories and the dynamic realities of classroom settings. Many schools continue to operate with traditional learning models that tend to overlook the emotional and motivational dimensions of students, while technologies such as AR, VR, and AI—which promise to stimulate brain plasticity—are not yet equally accessible worldwide. This indicates that educational innovation requires not only scientific knowledge but also political and economic commitment to ensure equitable distribution of these technologies.

Argumentatively, this study highlights the urgency of viewing education as a holistic system that focuses not only on information transfer but also on the comprehensive development of brain capacity. For instance, emotions are no longer secondary elements in learning; the activation of the amygdala and hippocampus has been shown to influence memory consolidation, making emotionally supportive learning environments a prerequisite for maximizing learning outcomes. Similarly, intrinsic motivation triggered by autonomy and task relevance has proven more effective than extrinsic rewards, as demonstrated by the activation of the dopaminergic system in the brain. Nevertheless, educators often remain trapped in outdated paradigms that emphasize standardization and outcome-based evaluations, which can hinder students' intrinsic motivation.

Another critique lies in the assumption that modern technology is a universal solution. While tools like neurofeedback and adaptive platforms offer great opportunities, they also carry ethical risks, such as data privacy concerns and the potential for

algorithmic bias. Therefore, collaboration among neuroscientists, educators, and policymakers becomes crucial to ensure that technology is used responsibly. Overall, this study demonstrates that optimizing learning environments through neuroscience represents a significant step forward, but its implementation requires a critical, inclusive, and sustainable approach to ensure that all students, without exception, can benefit from these innovations.

## DAFTAR PUSTAKA

- [1] K. Pradeep, R. Sulur Anbalagan, A. P. Thangavelu, S. Aswathy, V. G. Jisha, and V. S. Vaisakhi, "Neuroeducation: understanding neural dynamics in learning and teaching," *Front. Educ.*, vol. 9, 2024, doi: 10.3389/educ.2024.1437418.
- [2] D. Rajgor and J. G. Hanley, "The ins and outs of miRNA-mediated gene silencing during neuronal synaptic plasticity," *Non-coding RNA*, vol. 2, no. 1, 2016, doi: 10.3390/ncrna2010001.
- [3] M. Kossut, "Basic mechanism of neuroplasticity," *Neuropsychiatr. i Neuropsychol.*, vol. 14, no. 1–2, pp. 1–8, 2019, doi: 10.5114/nan.2019.87727.
- [4] R. E. Brown, "Donald O. Hebb and the Organization of Behavior: 17 years in the writing," *Mol. Brain*, vol. 13, no. 1, 2020, doi: 10.1186/s13041-020-00567-8.
- [5] R. Der, "In search for the neural mechanisms of individual development: Behavior-driven differential Hebbian learning," *Front. Robot. AI*, vol. 2, no. JAN, 2016, doi: 10.3389/frobt.2015.00037.
- [6] S. J. Cooper, "Donald O. Hebb's synapse and learning rule: A history and commentary," *Neurosci. Biobehav. Rev.*, vol. 28, no. 8, pp. 851–874, 2005, doi: 10.1016/j.neubiorev.2004.09.009.
- [7] P. Li, J. Legault, and K. A. Litcofsky, "Neuroplasticity as a function of second language learning: Anatomical changes in the human brain," *Cortex*, vol. 58, pp. 301–324, 2014, doi: 10.1016/j.cortex.2014.05.001.
- [8] K. Hötting and B. Röder, "Beneficial effects of physical exercise on neuroplasticity and cognition," *Neurosci. Biobehav. Rev.*, vol. 37, no. 9, pp. 2243–2257, 2013, doi: 10.1016/j.neubiorev.2013.04.005.
- [9] B. S. McEwen, "A life-course, epigenetic perspective on resilience in brain and body," in *Stress Resilience: Molecular and Behavioral Aspects*, 2020, pp. 1–21. doi: 10.1016/B978-0-12-813983-7.00001-X.
- [10] E. Wenger and S. Kühn, "Neuroplasticity," in *Cognitive Training: An Overview of Features and Applications: Second Edition*, 2020, pp. 69–83. doi: 10.1007/978-3-030-39292-5\_6.
- [11] V. Farmer-Dougan and L. A. Alferink, "Brain development, early childhood, and brain-based education: A critical analysis," in *Early Childhood and Neuroscience - Links to Development and Learning*, 2013, pp. 55–76. doi: 10.1007/978-94-007-6671-6\_5.
- [12] E. Acosta-Gonzaga and A. Ramirez-Arellano, "The Influence of Motivation, Emotions, Cognition, and Metacognition on Students' Learning Performance: A Comparative Study in Higher Education in Blended and Traditional Contexts," *SAGE Open*, vol. 11, no. 2, 2021, doi: 10.1177/21582440211027561.
- [13] T. Panskyi, S. Biedroń, K. Grudzień, and E. Korzeniewska, "The comparative estimation of primary students' programming outcomes based on traditional and distance out-of-school extracurricular informatics education in electronics courses during the challenging COVID-19 period," *Sensors*, vol. 21, no. 22, 2021, doi: 10.3390/s21227511.
- [14] K. Oatley and S. Nundy, "Rethinking the Role of Emotions in Education," in *The Handbook of Education and Human Development: New Models of Learning, Teaching and Schooling*, 2008, pp. 247–262. doi: 10.1111/b.9780631211860.1998.00013.x.
- [15] I. Ahmad, R. Gul, and M. Zeb, "A Qualitative Inquiry of University Student's Experiences of Exam Stress and Its Effect on Their Academic Performance," *Hum. Arenas*, vol. 7, no. 4, pp. 778–788, 2024, doi: 10.1007/s42087-022-00285-8.
- [16] P. S. Kudachi, R. G. Latti, and S. S. Goudar, "Effect of examination stress on the academic performance of first year medical students," *Biomedicine*, vol. 28, no. 2, pp. 142–144, 2008, [Online]. Available: <https://www.scopus.com/inward/record.uri?eid=2-s2.0-58349093546&partnerID=40&md5=404d850e4fed389a10a92584f8b186d1>

- [17] M. Ramesh Bhat, M. K. Sameer, and B. Ganaraja, "Eustress in education: Analysis of the perceived stress score (PSS) and blood pressure (BP) during examinations in Medical Students," *J. Clin. Diagnostic Res.*, vol. 5, no. 7, pp. 1331–1335, 2012, [Online]. Available: <https://www.scopus.com/inward/record.uri?eid=2-s2.0-84861555149&partnerID=40&md5=363fb30fe5a15f51a5e5f3c18f8c7eef>
- [18] E. Das, A. Shil, S. Saha, A. Das, S. Ghosh, and M. K. Singh, "Effect of stress during exam time on immunity-A Survey based study," *J. Exp. Biol. Agric. Sci.*, vol. 12, no. 3, pp. 498–510, 2024, doi: 10.18006/2024.12(3).498.510.
- [19] S. S. Wadikar, P. A. Muley, and P. P. Muley, "A comparative study of gender difference in reaction time in response to exam stress among first-year medical students," *Natl. J. Physiol. Pharm. Pharmacol.*, vol. 7, no. 2, pp. 209–213, 2017, doi: 10.5455/njppp.2017.7.0822429082016.
- [20] C. D. Conrad, R. L. Wright, and K. J. McLaughlin, "Stress and Vulnerability to Brain Damage," in *Encyclopedia of Neuroscience*, 2009, pp. 481–488. doi: 10.1016/B978-008045046-9.00093-0.
- [21] M. M. Rahman, C. K. Callaghan, C. M. Kerskens, S. Chattarji, and S. M. O'Mara, "Early hippocampal volume loss as a marker of eventual memory deficits caused by repeated stress," *Sci. Rep.*, vol. 6, 2016, doi: 10.1038/srep29127.
- [22] A. Tomar, D. Polygalov, S. Chattarji, and T. J. McHugh, "Stress enhances hippocampal neuronal synchrony and alters ripple-spike interaction," *Neurobiol. Stress*, vol. 14, 2021, doi: 10.1016/j.ynstr.2021.100327.
- [23] E. Gkintoni, C. Halkiopoulos, and H. Antonopoulou, "Contributions of Neuroscience to Educational Praxis: A Systematic Review," *Emerg. Sci. J.*, vol. 7, pp. 146–158, 2023, doi: 10.28991/esj-2023-sied2-012.
- [24] S. Eom, "The Effects of Student Motivation and Self-regulated Learning Strategies on Student's Perceived E-learning Outcomes and Satisfaction," *J. High. Educ. Theory Pract.*, vol. 19, no. 7, pp. 29–42, 2019, doi: 10.33423/jhetp.v19i7.2529.
- [25] Y. Liu, K.-T. Hau, H. Liu, J. Wu, X. Wang, and X. Zheng, "Multiplicative effect of intrinsic and extrinsic motivation on academic performance: A longitudinal study of Chinese students," *J. Pers.*, vol. 88, no. 3, pp. 584–595, 2020, doi: 10.1111/jopy.12512.
- [26] K. E. Leong, P. P. Tan, P. L. Lau, and S. L. Yong, "Exploring the relationship between motivation and science achievement of secondary students," *Pertanika J. Soc. Sci. Humanit.*, vol. 26, no. 4, pp. 2243–2258, 2018, [Online]. Available: <https://www.scopus.com/inward/record.uri?eid=2-s2.0-85060014682&partnerID=40&md5=afa84d318b59549c2cac6652763aad60>
- [27] C. Varazzani, A. San-Galli, S. Gilardeau, and S. Bouret, "Noradrenergic and dopamine neurons in the reward/effort trade-off: A direct electrophysiological comparison in behaving monkeys," *J. Neurosci.*, vol. 35, no. 20, pp. 7866–7877, 2015, doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0454-15.2015.
- [28] J. Salamone and M. Correa, "The Mysterious Motivational Functions of Mesolimbic Dopamine," *Neuron*, vol. 76, no. 3, pp. 470–485, 2012, doi: 10.1016/j.neuron.2012.10.021.
- [29] S. Esumi, Y. Kawasaki, Y. Gomita, Y. Kitamura, and T. Sendo, "Characteristics of the runway model of intracranial self-stimulation behavior and comparison with other motivated behaviors," *Acta Med. Okayama*, vol. 68, no. 5, pp. 255–262, 2014, [Online]. Available: <https://www.scopus.com/inward/record.uri?eid=2-s2.0-84910006260&partnerID=40&md5=47f5ac6aeb00ffb259de21ec45edbb77>
- [30] J. S. G. A. Balushi, M. I. A. A. Jabri, S. Palarimath, P. Maran, K. Thenmozhi, and C. Balakumar, "Incorporating Artificial Intelligence Powered Immersive Realities to Improve Learning using Virtual Reality (VR) and Augmented Reality (AR) Technology," in *Proceedings of the 3rd International Conference on Applied Artificial Intelligence and Computing, ICAAIC 2024*, 2024, pp. 760–765. doi: 10.1109/ICAAIC60222.2024.10575046.
- [31] S. Vashisht, "Enhancing Learning Experiences Through Augmented Reality and Virtual Reality in Classrooms," in *2nd IEEE International Conference on Recent Advances in Information Technology for Sustainable Development, ICRAIS 2024 - Proceedings*, 2024, pp. 12–17. doi: 10.1109/ICRAIS62903.2024.10811732.
- [32] J. Kubr, A. Lochmannová, and P. Hořejší, "Immersive Virtual Reality Training in Industrial Settings: Effects on Memory Retention and Learning Outcomes," *IEEE Access*, vol. 12, pp. 168270–168282, 2024, doi: 10.1109/ACCESS.2024.3496760.
- [33] G. Yildirim, S. Yildirim, and E. Dolgunsoz, "The effect of VR and traditional videos on learner retention and decision making," *World J. Educ. Technol. Curr. Issues*, vol. 11, no. 1, pp. 21–29, 2019, doi: 10.18844/wjet.v11i1.4005.
- [34] H. Champeaux, L. Mangiavacchi, F. Marchetta, and L. Piccoli, "Child development and distance learning in the age of COVID-19," *Rev. Econ. Househ.*, vol. 20, no. 3, pp. 659–685, 2022, doi: 10.1007/s11150-022-09606-w.
- [35] A. I. Kennedy and R. Strietholt, "School closure policies and student reading achievement: evidence across countries," *Educ. Assessment, Eval. Account.*, vol. 35, no. 4, pp. 475–501, 2023, doi: 10.1007/s11092-023-09415-4.
- [36] K. M. Jackson and M. K. Szombathely, "Holistic Online Learning, in a Post COVID-19 World," *Acta Polytech. Hungarica*, vol. 19, no. 11, pp. 125–144, 2022, [Online]. Available: <https://www.scopus.com/inward/record.uri?eid=2-s2.0-85148750013&partnerID=40&md5=7c3f6a4a6ad7bd32f546708c58918e59>
- [37] C. Frasson and P. Chalfoun, "Managing learner's affective states in intelligent tutoring systems," *Stud. Comput. Intell.*, vol. 308, pp. 339–358, 2010, doi: 10.1007/978-3-642-14363-2\_17.
- [38] M. Habib, *Emotional processes in learning situations*. 2022. doi: 10.1002/9781394150458.
- [39] S. Chaffar and C. Frasson, "Predicting learners' emotional response in intelligent distance learning systems," in *FLAIRS 2006 - Proceedings of the Nineteenth International Florida Artificial Intelligence Research Society Conference*, 2006, pp. 383–388. [Online]. Available: <https://www.scopus.com/inward/record.uri?eid=2-s2.0-33746104092&partnerID=40&md5=d80a65c5530cdebd8646e4bbda2c9581>
- [40] S. L. Grams and R. Jurowetzi, "Emotions in the classroom: The powerful role of classroom relationships," in *Dealing with Emotions: A Pedagogical Challenge to Innovative Learning*, 2015, pp. 81–98. doi: 10.1007/978-94-6300-064-2\_5.
- [41] C. Rajamanickam, J. Kayarathya, and M. Oumagandan, "Analysing the Impact of Emotional Learning on Student Well-Being: An Empirical Study," *J. Inf. Knowl. Manag.*, 2025, doi: 10.1142/S0219649225500042.
- [42] Z. G. Baker and J. L. Bryan, "The road to good psychological health: Basic psychological need satisfaction," in *Psychological Health and Needs Research Developments*, 2015, pp. 1–10. [Online]. Available: <https://www.scopus.com/inward/record.uri?eid=2-s2.0-84956740976&partnerID=40&md5=f014290d8a0b4a030ece8bd5450e304b>
- [43] T. J. Ten Cate, R. A. Kusrkar, and G. C. Williams, "How self-determination theory can assist our understanding of the teaching and learning processes in medical education. AMEE Guide No. 59," *Med. Teach.*, vol. 33, no. 12, pp. 961–973, 2011, doi: 10.3109/0142159X.2011.595435.
- [44] T. G. Calvo, E. Cervelló, R. Jiménez, D. Iglesias, and J. A. M. Murcia, "Using self-determination theory to explain sport persistence and dropout in adolescent athletes," *Span. J. Psychol.*, vol. 13, no. 2, pp. 677–684, 2010, doi: 10.1017/S1138741600002341.
- [45] M. S. Alvarez, I. Balaguer, I. Castillo, and J. L. Duda, "Coach autonomy support and quality of sport engagement in young soccer players," *Span. J. Psychol.*, vol. 12, no. 1, pp. 138–148, 2009, doi: 10.1017/S1138741600001554.
- [46] I. E. Johnson *et al.*, "Comparing the Academic Achievement of Students Taught Educational Technology with Doodly-designed Multimedia Instructions in Classroom and Online Learning Environments," *Ianna J. Interdiscip. Stud.*, vol. 6, no. 2, pp. 161–177, 2024, doi: 10.5281/zenodo.12189075.
- [47] A. Alanazi, N. F. Binti Elias, H. B. Mohamed, and N. Sahari, "The critical success factors influencing the use of mobile learning and its perceived impacts in students education: A systematic literature review," *KSII Trans. Internet Inf. Syst.*, vol. 18, no. 3, pp.

- 610–632, 2024, doi: 10.3837/tiis.2024.03.005.
- [48] C. Gillan, C. Palmer, and A. Bolderston, "Qualitative methodologies and analysis," in *Research for the Radiation Therapist: From Question to Culture*, 2014, pp. 127–152. [Online]. Available: <https://www.scopus.com/inward/record.uri?eid=2-s2.0-85054208035&partnerID=40&md5=adc14e465f46c26e67f99db85e02bd70>
- [49] C. Herzog, C. Handke, and E. Hitters, "Analyzing Talk and Text II: Thematic Analysis," in *The Palgrave Handbook of Methods for Media Policy Research*, 2019, pp. 385–401. doi: 10.1007/978-3-030-16065-4\_22.
- [50] N. Toufan, A. Omid, and F. Haghani, "The double-edged sword of emotions in medical education: A scoping review," *J. Educ. Health Promot.*, vol. 12, no. 1, p. 52, 2023, doi: 10.4103/jehp.jehp\_644\_21.
- [51] I. Cea, "The somatic roots of affect: Toward a body-centered education," in *Affectivity and Learning: Bridging the Gap Between Neurosciences, Cultural and Cognitive Psychology*, 2023, pp. 555–583. doi: 10.1007/978-3-031-31709-5\_29.
- [52] R. F. Mustafina, M. S. Ilna, and I. A. Shcherbakova, "Emotions and their effect on learning," *Utop. y Prax. Latinoam.*, vol. 25, no. Extra 7, pp. 318–324, 2020, doi: 10.5281/zenodo.4009736.
- [53] E. L. Deci and R. M. Ryan, "Self-determination theory: A macrotheory of human motivation, development, and health," in *Canadian Psychology*, 2008, pp. 182–185. doi: 10.1037/a0012801.
- [54] R. M. Ryan, *The Oxford Handbook of Self-Determination Theory*. 2023. doi: 10.1093/oxfordhb/9780197600047.001.0001.
- [55] M. Khramova, A. Hramov, and A. Fedorov, "Current Trends in the Development of Neuroscientific Research in Education," *Vopr. Obraz./Educ. Stud. Moscow*, vol. 2023, no. 4, pp. 275–316, 2023, doi: 10.17323/vo-2023-16701.
- [56] C. H. Meydan and H. Akkas, "The role of triangulation in qualitative research: Converging perspectives," in *Principles of Conducting Qualitative Research in Multicultural Settings*, 2024, pp. 98–129. doi: 10.4018/979-8-3693-3306-8.ch006.
- [57] N. H. Mokhtar, M. F. A. Halim, and S. Z. S. Kamarulzaman, "The effectiveness of storytelling in enhancing communicative skills," in *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 2011, pp. 163–169. doi: 10.1016/j.sbspro.2011.05.024.
- [58] B. McCaffrey, "What can teachers learn from the stories children tell?: The nurturing, evaluation and interpretation of storytelling by children with language and learning difficulties," in *Using Storytelling to Support Children and Adults with Special Needs: Transforming Lives through Telling Tales*, 2012, pp. 25–32. doi: 10.4324/9780203080924-9.
- [59] V. V. Sruthy, A. Saju, and A. G. Hari Narayanan, "Predictive methodology for child behavior from children stories," *J. Eng. Appl. Sci.*, vol. 13, no. Specialissue5, pp. 4597–4599, 2018, doi: 10.3923/jeasci.2018.4597.4599.
- [60] T. J. Schoenfeld, H. C. McCausland, H. D. Morris, V. Padmanaban, and H. A. Cameron, "Stress and Loss of Adult Neurogenesis Differentially Reduce Hippocampal Volume," *Biol. Psychiatry*, vol. 82, no. 12, pp. 914–923, 2017, doi: 10.1016/j.biopsych.2017.05.013.
- [61] J. L. Warner-Schmidt and R. S. Duman, "Hippocampal neurogenesis: Opposing effects of stress and antidepressant treatment," *Hippocampus*, vol. 16, no. 3, pp. 239–249, 2006, doi: 10.1002/hipo.20156.
- [62] M. Kavakli, M. Li, and T. Rudra, "Towards the development of a virtual counselor to tackle students' exam stress," *J. Integr. Des. Process Sci.*, vol. 16, no. 1, pp. 5–26, 2012, doi: 10.3233/jid-2012-0004.
- [63] T. Rudra, M. Li, and M. Kavakli, "ESCAP: Towards the design of an AI architecture for a virtual counselor to tackle students' exam stress," in *Proceedings of the Annual Hawaii International Conference on System Sciences*, 2012, pp. 2981–2990. doi: 10.1109/HICSS.2012.249.
- [64] M. Shean and D. Mander, "Building emotional safety for students in school environments: Challenges and opportunities," in *Health and Education Interdependence: Thriving from Birth to Adulthood*, 2020, pp. 225–248. doi: 10.1007/978-981-15-3959-6\_12.
- [65] M. K. Miller *et al.*, "Efficacy of a university offered mindfulness training on perceived stress," *J. Couns. Dev.*, vol. 100, no. 3, pp. 278–283, 2022, doi: 10.1002/jcad.12421.
- [66] A. Morsy, "Emotional matters: Innovative software brings emotional intelligence to our digital devices," *IEEE Pulse*, vol. 7, no. 6, pp. 38–41, 2016, doi: 10.1109/MPUL.2016.2608724.
- [67] E. D. Brown and K. L. Sax, "Arts enrichment and preschool emotions for low-income children at risk," *Early Child. Res. Q.*, vol. 28, no. 2, pp. 337–346, 2013, doi: 10.1016/j.ecresq.2012.08.002.
- [68] G. A. Toto, "The influences of musical learning on psychophysical development, intelligence and technology," *Turkish Online J. Educ. Technol.*, vol. 2017, no. Special Issue 2017, pp. 801–807, 2017, [Online]. Available: <https://www.scopus.com/inward/record.uri?eid=2-s2.0-85038827141&partnerID=40&md5=a1967bf6ae4d681f63ee8d42aff87462>
- [69] B. Blain and T. Sharot, "Intrinsic reward: potential cognitive and neural mechanisms," *Curr. Opin. Behav. Sci.*, vol. 39, pp. 113–118, 2021, doi: 10.1016/j.cobeha.2021.03.008.
- [70] N. Miura, H. C. Tanabe, A. T. Sasaki, T. Harada, and N. Sadato, "Neural evidence for the intrinsic value of action as motivation for behavior," *Neuroscience*, vol. 352, pp. 190–203, 2017, doi: 10.1016/j.neuroscience.2017.03.064.
- [71] W. A. Carlezon Jr. and M. J. Thomas, "Biological substrates of reward and aversion: A nucleus accumbens activity hypothesis," *Neuropharmacology*, vol. 56, no. SUPPL. 1, pp. 122–132, 2009, doi: 10.1016/j.neuropharm.2008.06.075.
- [72] E. A. West, T. M. Moschak, and R. M. Carelli, "Distinct functional microcircuits in the nucleus accumbens underlying goal-directed decision-making," in *Goal-Directed Decision Making: Computations and Neural Circuits*, 2018, pp. 199–219. doi: 10.1016/B978-0-12-812098-9.00009-7.
- [73] B. Fischer, *Looking for learning: Auditory, visual and optomotor processing of children with learning problems*. 2007. [Online]. Available: <https://www.scopus.com/inward/record.uri?eid=2-s2.0-85060240537&partnerID=40&md5=1d722f561e47ac7fe0cbb4cdac014da>
- [74] J.-H. Baik, "Stress and the dopaminergic reward system," *Exp. Mol. Med.*, vol. 52, no. 12, pp. 1879–1890, 2020, doi: 10.1038/s12276-020-00532-4.
- [75] E. Izzo, P. P. Sanna, and G. F. Koob, "Impairment of dopaminergic system function after chronic treatment with corticotropin-releasing factor," *Pharmacol. Biochem. Behav.*, vol. 81, no. 4, pp. 701–708, 2005, doi: 10.1016/j.pbb.2005.04.017.
- [76] Y. Munakata and J. Pfaffly, "Hebbian learning and development," *Dev. Sci.*, vol. 7, no. 2, pp. 141–148, 2004, doi: 10.1111/j.1467-7687.2004.00331.x.
- [77] C. Mulert, "Simultaneous EEG and fMRI: Towards the characterization of structure and dynamics of brain networks," *Dialogues Clin. Neurosci.*, vol. 15, no. 3, pp. 381–386, 2013, doi: 10.31887/dcons.2013.15.3/cmulert.
- [78] R. F. Ahmad, A. S. Malik, N. Kamel, F. Reza, and A. H. Abdul Karim, "Optimization and development of concurrent EEG-fMRI data acquisition setup for understanding neural mechanisms of brain," in *Conference Record - IEEE Instrumentation and Measurement Technology Conference*, 2015, pp. 476–481. doi: 10.1109/I2MTC.2015.7151314.
- [79] L. Yu and J. Xu, "The Development of Multisensory Integration at the Neuronal Level," in *Advances in Experimental Medicine and Biology*, vol. 1437, 2024, pp. 153–172. doi: 10.1007/978-981-99-7611-9\_10.
- [80] X. Xu, I. L. Hanganu-Opatz, and M. Bieler, "Cross-Talk of Low-Level Sensory and High-Level Cognitive Processing: Development, Mechanisms, and Relevance for Cross-Modal Abilities of the Brain," *Front. Neurobot.*, vol. 14, 2020, doi: 10.3389/fnbot.2020.00007.
- [81] M. K. Shaleh Md Asari, N. M. Suaib, M. H. Abd Razak, M. A. Ahmad, and N. M. K. Shaleh, "Empowering Skill-Based Learning with Augmented Reality and Virtual Reality: A Case Study," in *Digest of Technical Papers - IEEE International Conference on Consumer Electronics*, 2024, pp. 225–229. doi: 10.1109/ISCT62336.2024.10791270.
- [82] I. Firsova, D. Vasbieva, and Y. Firsov, "Immersive Virtual Reality Technology for Teaching Marketing in Higher Education," in

- Lecture Notes in Networks and Systems*, 2024, pp. 308–328. doi: 10.1007/978-3-031-76800-2\_21.
- [83] E. G. G. Verdaasdonk, L. P. S. Stassen, M. P. Schijven, and J. Dankelman, "Construct validity and assessment of the learning curve for the SIMENDO endoscopic simulator," *Surg. Endosc. Other Interv. Tech.*, vol. 21, no. 8, pp. 1406–1412, 2007, doi: 10.1007/s00464-006-9177-5.
- [84] D. E. Mayasari and Merline Eva Lyanthi, "Rasio Legis Hukum Waris Adat Bali Seorang Ahli Waris Yang Pindah Agama," *J. Chem. Inf. Model.*, vol. 53, no. February, p. 2021, 2021, [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1080/09638288.2019.1595750><https://doi.org/10.1080/17518423.2017.1368728><https://dx.doi.org/10.1080/17518423.2017.1368728><https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ridd.2020.103766><https://doi.org/10.1080/02640414.2019.1689076>
- [85] K. Yoshida, F. Hirai, and I. Miyaji, "Learning system using simple electroencephalograph feedback effect during memory work," in *Procedia Computer Science*, 2014, pp. 1596–1604. doi: 10.1016/j.procs.2014.08.243.
- [86] M. Bisla and R. S. Anand, "Wearable EEG technology for the brain-computer interface," in *Computational Intelligence in Healthcare Applications*, 2022, pp. 137–155. doi: 10.1016/B978-0-323-99031-8.00005-3.
- [87] E. H. Jacobs, "Neurofeedback treatment of two children with learning, attention, mood, social, and developmental deficits," *J. Neurother.*, vol. 9, no. 4, pp. 55–70, 2006, doi: 10.1300/J184v09n04\_06.
- [88] S. Franklin-Guy and D. Schnorr, "A review of the use of neurofeedback training as an intervention method in the treatment of AD/HD," *Int. J. Learn. Divers. Identities*, vol. 20, no. 4, pp. 51–57, 2014, doi: 10.18848/2327-0128/CGP/v20i04/48588.